

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/08/2006

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,459	10/29/2003		David R. Tuttle	702.132	6019
37902	7590	06/08/2006		EXAM	INER
WRIGHT N	MEDICA	L TECHNOLOGY	PHILOGENE, PEDRO		
5677 AIRLI	NE ROAL)			
ARLINGTON, TN 38002-9501				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3733	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Art Unit: 3733

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 9,12-17, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pappas (6,074,425).

With respect to claims 1, and 13, Pappas discloses a knee prosthesis comprising a tibial component (300) defining a lateral and medial concavities (303,304) the lateral concavity having a first portion (311) configured to interact with the lateral condyle of the femur during normal knee flexion and a second portion (312) configured to interact with the lateral condyle of the femur during high knee flexion; and the medial concavity having a first portion (313) configured to interact with the medial condyle of the femur during normal knee flexion and the second portion (314) configured to interact with the medial condyle of the femur during high knee flexion; wherein the lateral and medial concavities have different shapes; asseen in FIG.17, and wherein the first and second portions are at least partially at separate locations within their respective concavities; asset forth in column 9, lines 24-67, column 10, lines 1-35.

With respect to claims 2-6,9,12, 14-17,20,23, Pappas discloses all the limitations, as set forth in column 9, lines 24-67, column 10, lines 1-34; and as best seen in FIGS.17-18.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10,1121,22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pappas (6,074,425).

With respect to the above claims, it is noted that Pappas did not teach of a boundary conformity between 3 to 5 mm; as claimed by applicant. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to reach this optimum value, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10,11,18,19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,459 Page 4

Art Unit: 3733

6,056,779	05-2000	Noyer et al.
5,964,808	10-1999	Blaha et al.
6,558,427	05-2003	Leclercq et al
5,219,362	06-1993	Tuke et al
4,634,444	01-1987	Noiles

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (571) 272-4716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272 - 4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,459 Page 5

Art Unit: 3733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Pedro Philogene May 30, 2006

PEDRO PHILOGENE